COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0620-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 69

Subject: Labor and Management; Employees - Employers; Political Subdivisions

Type: Original

Date: February 12, 2015

Bill Summary: This proposal allows public bodies to opt out of prevailing wage laws for

the construction of public works projects that are \$750,000 or less.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Conservation Commission Fund	More than \$100,000	More than \$100,000	More than \$100,000	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	More than \$100,000	More than \$100,000	More than \$100,000	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 0620-01 Bill No. HB 69 Page 2 of 5 February 12, 2015

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	16 FY 2017 FY 2			
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0		

☐ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Local Government	More than \$100,000	More than \$100,000	More than \$100,000	

L.R. No. 0620-01 Bill No. HB 69 Page 3 of 5 February 12, 2015

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the Department of Transportation, the Office of Administration - Division of Purchasing and Material Management and Division of Facilities Management, Design and Construction the Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, the State Technical College of Missouri, the Metropolitan Community College, the University of Missouri and the University of Central Missouri each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Division of Budget and Planning** state the proposed legislation appears to have no direct impact on TSR or 18e and defers to the Department of Labor and Industrial Relations with specific fiscal impact to their organization.

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Conservation** assume an unknown positive fiscal impact to their organization of greater than \$100,000.

Officials from the **City of Kansas City** state assume this proposal may result in savings in administrative cost associated with staff time of investigating prevailing wage violations of contracts under \$750,000. However, the City may not elect to opt out and may still incur the same staff cost. If the City were to elect to opt out of prevailing wage on contracts under \$750,000 this proposal may result in a loss of earnings tax directly related to a worker's wage. If a worker earns less, the City collects less. However, any potential loss is difficult to ascertain at this time, as employers may still pay their workers the same amount, whether or not the City opts out.

Officials from the **Missouri State University** assume the proposal will result in a positive fiscal impact. The amount cannot be determined and quantified at this time.

Oversight will assume the changes in this proposal would result in cost savings greater than \$100,000 per year for the Conservation Commission Fund and for local governments.

L.R. No. 0620-01 Bill No. HB 69 Page 4 of 5 February 12, 2015

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018
CONSERVATION COMMISSION FUND	(======,		
Savings - Department of Conservation - Changes to prevailing wage definitions	More than <u>\$100,000</u>	More than \$100,000	More than <u>\$100,000</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON CONSERVATION COMMISSION FUND	More than <u>\$100,000</u>	More than <u>\$100,000</u>	More than <u>\$100,000</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018
LOCAL GOVERNMENTS			
Savings - Local governments Changes to prevailing wage definitions	More than <u>\$100,000</u>	More than <u>\$100,000</u>	More than <u>\$100,000</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS	More than <u>\$100,000</u>	More than <u>\$100,000</u>	More than <u>\$100,000</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

Small businesses could potentially have a negative fiscal impact as result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This bill allows any public body to opt out of the provisions regarding prevailing wages for the construction of public works projects for which the contract awarded is \$750,000 or less.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 0620-01 Bill No. HB 69 Page 5 of 5 February 12, 2015

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Labor and Industrial Relations Office of Administration

Division of Budget and Planning

Division of Purchasing and Material Management

Division of Facilities Management, Design and Construction

Department of Transportation

Missouri Department of Conservation

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 12, 2015

Ross Strope Assistant Director February 12, 2015